From Village Boy to Pastor

CHILDHOOD, YOUTH, AND STUDENT DAYS

Rüdlingen is a small farming village in the Canton of Schaffhausen, situated in northern Switzerland. The village is surrounded by a beautiful countryside only a few miles downriver from the Rhine Falls. Fertile fields extend toward the river, and steep vineyards rise behind the village. The Protestant church sits enthroned high above the village. Almost all of the villagers belonged to the Protestant faith. Adolf Keller was born and raised in one of Rüdlingen’s pretty half-timbered houses in 1872. He was the eldest child born to the village teacher Johann Georg Keller and his wife Margaretha, née Buchter. Three sisters and a brother were born to the Kellers after Adolf. A large fruit and vegetable garden contributed to the upkeep of the family.

For six years, Adolf Keller attended the primary school classes taught by his father. The young boy’s performance so conspicuously excelled that of his sixty peers that the highly regarded village teacher decided not to award his son any grades. Occasionally, Keller senior involved his son in the teaching of classes. Both parents were ecclesiastically minded. Keller’s father took his Bible classes seriously. Adolf was required to learn by heart countless Bible verses. His mother ran the village Sunday School. She was a good storyteller. Unlike her more austere husband, Keller’s mother represented an emotional piety. She never missed attending the annual festival of the devout Basel Mission.
The village pastor taught the young Keller Latin, and his daughter, who had traveled widely in Europe as a governess, taught him English and French. He also took piano and organ lessons with her. Keller attended secondary school in Flaach, a neighboring village situated in the nearby Canton of Zurich. He then entered the highly regarded Humanistisches Gymnasium, the classics section of Schaffhausen Grammar School. The college was also attended by students from further afield. Among these was Conrad Jenny, Keller’s future brother-in-law, who attended the college a few years later and commuted there from Zurich.

Keller became one of the best students in his class. He enjoyed all subjects, with the exception of mathematics. He joined the Scaphusia student fraternity, where members not only drank beer but also recited poems, gave talks, and engaged in intense debate. His fraternity affiliation challenged the shy country boy and nurtured him immensely. At Scaphusia, he met Jakob Wipf, who became his first close friend. Upon graduating with flying colors, Keller enrolled in the Faculty of Theology at the University of Basel in 1892, together with Wipf. Founded in the fifteenth century, it is the oldest university in Switzerland. Keller moved into rooms in the Alumneum, a time-honored student house.
Upon his arrival in Basel, Keller suffered a profound shock: the Faculty of Theology was dominated by liberally minded theologians influenced by the Enlightenment, who approached the Bible using historical-critical methods and who took a critical stance toward Christian dogma. Such an attitude was alien to Keller, who had been raised in a theologically orthodox home. Rüdlingen’s pastor was also orthodox. While liberally minded in private, Keller’s Religious Education instructor at Schaffhausen Grammar School had steered clear of modern theological questions in the classroom.

Quite deliberately, presumably, Keller did not attend any lectures given by the purportedly extremely “modern” Franz Camille Overbeck, who achieved lasting fame. Although he realized that Bernhard Duhm, the moderately liberal professor of Old Testament, was an outstanding scholar, Keller felt more attracted to Conrad von Orelli, his orthodox counterpart. Some of Keller’s fellow students shared this experience, including Paul Wernle, who later became one of the most important professors of theology in Switzerland. In the Schwizerhüsli (Swiss Cottage) fraternity, which had been founded by pious circles in Basel, Keller was lent an understanding ear. He discovered, however, that in some respects he was more open-minded than his fellow fraternity members. Much to their dismay, for instance, he advocated the admission of women to the University of Basel.
In spite of his firm theological orthodoxy, the young Keller wrestled with his proposed calling. However, he sought to evade the “bitter struggles and doubts” over whether he had chosen the right profession by pursuing his study of the Bible in “his own way.” For a time, he consoled himself with the idea of being a “pagan . . . with a Christian heart.” Ultimately, he wrenched himself free from the “rigid doctrine of inspiration,” that is, verbal inspiration of the Bible. He found some distraction from his personal problems by immersing himself in philosophy, attending courses in classical Arabic, and following the last lectures of the great art historian Jacob Burckhardt on the Renaissance and on the Baroque period. In the summer of 1894, he visited Paris and marveled at the paintings exhibited in the Louvre that Burckhardt had described in his lectures.

In late 1894, Jakob Wipf and Keller visited Salzburg, Vienna, and Prague on their way to Berlin, where the latter intended to continue his theological studies for two semesters. At the time, Berlin was shining in the imperial splendor of William II. In Keller’s eyes, the university emanated even greater splendor. In Berlin, he thus hoped to form a “unified theological outlook.”

Berlin’s Protestant Faculty of Theology was renowned as the most outstanding of its kind in the German-speaking world. Among its teaching faculty was Adolf von Harnack, the leader of liberal theology at the time. Hundreds of students from across the world, including no less than forty Americans during Keller’s period, attended von Harnack’s lectures. Keller was fascinated:

Here was the great Harnack, whose lectures on the history of dogma I attended. For the first time, I experienced a sovereign mastery of the subject, and such ease in shaping the delivery, like a work of art. Often, one did not feel like taking notes when he brought the great Church Father Saint Augustine to life, extemporizing and performing gymnastics while lecturing.

He was also impressed by Hermann von Soden, the New Testament scholar, but even more so by Julius Kaftan, a systematic theologian, who placed great emphasis on ethics and a faith with practical consequences. Kaftan insisted that the roots of religious belief lay not in the intellect, but instead

2. Ibid.
in the “feeling and willing spirit.” One counterpoint to these liberal professors was Adolf Schlatter, the orthodox Swiss New Testament scholar. Conspicuously, Keller attended more of Schlatter’s lectures than those of any other professor: “His classes were not only remarkably witty, but they also involved the profound exploration of the evangelical truth of the Bible.” While Schlatter to a certain extent applied the historical-critical method to exegesis, he arrived at conservative conclusions.

Keller also attended the lectures of Ernst Curtius and Heinrich von Treitschke on history, as well as those by Hermann Grimm on art history. Almost every day, he would spend his lunchtime at one of Berlin’s numerous museums. He joined a choir to sing Bach’s *St Matthew Passion*, and often attended theater and concert performances in the evenings. He absorbed literally everything that Berlin had to offer by way of culture.

In 1890, German theologians of all stripes had founded the Evangelisch-Sozialer Kongress (Protestant Social Congress) to discuss the negative effects of industrialization and Manchester Capitalism. Keller became personally acquainted with many of the figures involved in the Congress. He also read the writings of Friedrich Naumann, a German liberal politician and Protestant pastor. Berlin’s working-class districts provided abundant evidence of social misery. Together with Arthur Titius, adjunct professor of theology, Keller visited a range of welfare facilities maintained by the Innere Mission (Home Mission), including hospices, hospitals, orphanages, and homes for the blind. Later, on his return journey to Switzerland, he visited Bethel hospices near Bielefeld: “On this visit, I became personally acquainted with the elderly Bodelschwingh as an incarnation of Christian love. Whoever

had looked into his eyes, either when he was delivering a public speech or when he was attending to the sick, can never forget those loving eyes.”

In April 1896, Keller was awarded the second-highest honors by the Examination Board of the German-speaking Reformed Churches of Switzerland. What was his theological stance at the time? In his curriculum vitae, which he was required to submit at his final examinations, he maintains that the diversity of religious convictions is fully justified by the freedom and originality of each and every individual:

I realized that religion rests upon individual personalities and human relationships, and not upon abstract ideas or teachings . . . I therefore understood that while there are presumably different kinds of dogmatists, the Christian Dogma can never be found . . . I became increasingly disinclined to systematize my Christianity into a theology as expeditiously as possible . . . On my path toward attaining these convictions, not only Schlatter but also von Harnack and Kaftan exerted a profound influence on me . . . Berlin afforded me the greatest possible stimulation, without, however, demanding that I swear an oath on the words of any single teacher.”

Thus, Keller’s hope for a unified theological outlook had remained unfulfilled. His curriculum closes with the words uttered by the Apostle Paul in Philippians 3:12: “Not that I have secured it already, . . . but I am still pursuing it.” From this point on, Keller was spared existential crises of faith. He joined a group of young Swiss theologians around Paul Wernle committed to mediating between orthodoxy and liberalism. This approach was also shared by the widely read Kirchenblatt für die reformierte Schweiz (Church Magazine of Reformed Switzerland), to which Keller later often contributed articles.

8. Ibid., 12–13. The theologian Friedrich von Bodeschwingh (1831–1910) founded Bethel in 1872 and was its director. Bethel was (and still is) a large complex of several hospitals and different homes for handicapped or elderly people and the working poor, as well as a faculty of theology and social work. His son Friedrich von Bodeschwingh Jr. (1877–1946), also a theologian, was his successor, and in 1933 for some months Reichsbischof of the German Evangelical Church, as such opposing Hitler. See below, pp. 81, 166–69.

IN COSMOPOLITAN CAIRO (1896–1899)

In the autumn of 1896, Keller was appointed auxiliary pastor at the German Protestant parish in Cairo. The congregation also included German- and French-speaking Swiss citizens. Presumably, Keller had negotiated his posting to Egypt while still in Berlin. During his time in Berlin, he had written his first book, *Der Geisteskampf des Christentums gegen den Islam bis zur Zeit der Kreuzzüge* (The Spiritual Struggle of Christianity against Islam until the Time of the Crusades). He undertook this treatise, which extended to approximately a hundred pages, at the suggestion of the Reverend Wilhelm Faber, director of the German Oriental Mission. Keller later distanced himself from his fledgling work, since he had lacked the necessary critical judgment at the time. It is nevertheless worth examining.

Keller’s reflections on his subject begin with a reference to Islam as an “arrogant religion.” While it had brought “relative morality” to the peoples of the world, he further observes, it had barely afforded them “life, freedom, and full satisfaction.” It was a “humanitarian duty,” particularly toward women, to acquaint Muslims with the blessing of freedom and with the gospel. Moreover, the Christian church had an “explicit, absolute Great Commission.” Islam had ossified, and therefore the point in time for missionary work was favorable.

However, he continues, one should not underestimate the “truth content of Islam,” since it originated in Judaism and Christianity, both of them revealed religions. Mohammed had also possessed “creative power.” The church had been mistaken in considering Islam its greatest enemy for centuries and in neglecting the “common elements of both religions.” Particularly the Latin West had remained uninformed about Islam, falsely branding Muslims as pagans. Keller approvingly cites the medieval theologian Peter the Venerable: “Aggredior vos, non ut nostri saepe faciunt, armis, sed verbis, non vi sed ratione, non odio, sed amore.”

10. See Acta 1896–1899 on the German Protestant parish in Cairo (EZA Bestand 5 3085/3086/3092).
12. Ibid., 5–6.
13. Ibid., 8.
15. Ibid., 4.
16. Ibid., 40.
17. Ibid., 7 and 54. The famous words uttered by Peter the Venerable (1092/94–1154), abbot of the Benedictine abbey of Cluny, translate into English as follows: “I
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condemns both the “holy war” waged by the Muslims and also, albeit less explicitly, the Crusades. Often, however, the Muslims had been “more magnanimous and more tolerant than the Christians”; moreover, the Crusades had brought about the bankruptcy of Oriental Christendom.¹⁸

Presumably, Keller did greater justice to Islam, owing to his reading of the Koran and Jacques Paul Migne’s sourcebook on patrology,¹⁹ than those commissioning him had anticipated. Keller’s booklet and his entry into the interreligious World Brotherhood, founded after World War II, were thus not miles apart. Significantly, he learned modern Arabic during his time in Cairo. Acquiring the language allowed him to engage in conversation with the bedouins on his journey to Sinai in 1898. While they too submitted to the will of Allah, he wrote, their submission was softened by their faith in His benevolence. “The naïveté and simplicity of Islam” were magnificent, Keller observed, even though all kinds of superstition clouded this religion in his eyes.²⁰

And thus to Cairo! At the time, Egypt was under British rule. Cairo and Alexandria were cosmopolitan cities, whose populations, besides sizeable British contingents, included Greek, French, German, and Swiss nationals; along with the native Muslims, religious denominations included Jews, Copts, Anglicans, members of the Greek Orthodox Church, Catholics, and Protestants. Amid this rich panoply of nationalities and denominations, Keller acquired an extraordinary cosmopolitanism and learned to deal with a broad cross section of people. His Protestant parish included agronomists, apprentices, archaeologists, Arabists, artists, bankers, diplomats, doctors, engineers, geologists, governesses, historians of religion, lawyers, mechanics, merchants, university professors, watchmakers, and Swiss hotel proprietors. Most parishioners attended services only rarely. At Christmas, however, a large congregation gathered around the Christmas tree, which was traditionally imported from Europe.

Keller preached alternately in German and French, not only in Cairo but also in Heluan, a health spa where numerous German and Swiss tuberculosis patients spent the winter months. He also ran the Sunday school and visited the sick at the Hospital Victoria, run by deaconesses, in Cairo. He devoted most of his time, however, to the parish school, which was

¹⁸. Ibid., 36–37, 23, 31, and 84.
¹⁹. Keller also familiarized himself with Joseph Assemani’s Bibliotheca Orientalis Clementino-Vaticana and with the relevant secondary literature.
²⁰. Keller, Sinai-Fahrt, 89.
attended by children of different nationalities. He taught German, religious studies, mathematics, Latin, natural history, singing, and drawing: the language of instruction was partly German, partly French. Fortunately, his father had introduced him to the art of teaching back home in Rüdlingen.

Adolf Keller bound for St. Catherine’s Monastery near Mount Moses  
(from Adolf Keller, Eine Sinai-Fahrt, 23)

He also spent time visiting the mosques and museums, enjoyed riding out to the pyramids with friends in the evenings, and joined the Cairo Music Society. He would often play four-handed piano with Felix von Müller, the German general consul. He organized two concerts, including a performance of Mendelssohn’s *Elijah*, for which his German friend Carl Hasselbach provided the score. A mixed choir was established for the occasion, and the performance was accompanied by the British Regimental orchestra. Keller conducted the whole affair “with the unconcerned impertinence of an inexperienced apprentice,” as he later admitted. Among Keller’s best friends in Cairo were the von Bülows. Otto von Bülow, a lawyer by training, was a member of the international tribunal in Cairo. Following his death, Keller socialized with Elsa von Bülow for decades.

In the spring of 1898, Keller was “deeply moved” when he set foot in the “Holy Land.” Under the supervision of Hermann von Soden, his

21. On Olga Hasselbach, the wife of Carl Hasselbach, see below p. 188.  
23. On Elsa von Bülow, see below p. 257.  
former New Testament professor in Berlin, he visited the Temple Square
and the Garden of Gethsemane in Jerusalem, as well as Bethlehem und
Galilee. And Keller was indeed enthralled by the prospect of spending
several weeks doing research at St Catherine’s Greek Orthodox Monas-
tery. Located in the Sinai Peninsula, the monastery was still untouched
by tourism in those days. In midsummer, and accompanied by bedouins,
he reached the monastery on a camel. Commissioned by von Soden, two
young theologians were already immersed in comparing texts based on
old Bible manuscripts. In the monastery’s library, the three young scholars
would sit hunched over the materials during the morning and evening
hours. In the afternoons, they would retire for their siestas and spend the
nights in a tent pitched beneath an olive tree in the monastery’s garden.
They climbed Mount Moses (Jebel Musa) several times:

I remained . . . at the summit, alone, while the sun set in unfor-
gettable glory and the moon rose above the thin strip of sea at
Akaba. Only at the summit was there still light; the valleys and
canyons below soon lay shrouded in black, silent darkness. At
this moment, the many theories, hypotheses, and critical ques-
tions arising in connection with our work sank into the night.
One forgets one’s disputes over Moses and Israel, the Revelation
and the Commandments, and the situation of the real Sinai.
One wants to experience . . . what distinguishes the pure reli-
gious and spiritual content of the narratives of Moses, the man
of God, who speaks to Yahweh as to a friend.

“AUF BURG” AND GENEVA: ALBERT SCHWEITZER,
KARL BARTH, AND PSYCHOLOGY

In 1899, Keller was appointed to serve the parish auf Burg beside Stein
am Rhein, a small town on the River Rhine in the North of Switzerland
(Canton Schaffhausen). The church “auf Burg” sits on a hill, amid the ruins
of an ancient Roman fort. Medieval frescoes decorate its interior. The view
from the adjacent rectory onto the Rhine and the small town lying oppo-
site is magnificent. But the contrast to cosmopolitan Cairo could not have
been greater. Most of Keller’s parishioners were farmers. The congregation
was very affectionate. One day, after the vicarage had been burgled, Keller

25. Von Soden revised the Greek New Testament. See above, pp. 4 and 9–10, and
below, pp. 29–30.
was presented by way of consolation with a mighty piece of gammon and veal roasted on the spit; he was also given a white Pomeranian to guard the rectory.

Keller filled his time by writing an account titled *Eine Sinai-Fahrt* (A Journey across the Sinai), playing the piano, and copious reading; among many others, he read the writings of Thomas Carlyle, the Scottish social critic. He taught religious studies at Schaffhausen Grammar School, and regularly contributed articles to the *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*, one of the most renowned German-speaking newspapers. Most important, however, he made important friends. These included the Curtius family in Strasbourg. Friedrich Curtius, a lawyer and the son of professor Ernst Curtius in Berlin, was the president of the Evangelical Lutheran and Evangelical Reformed Churches of Alsace-Lorraine, which was still German at the time. Curtius’s wife was Swiss. Their son, Ernst Robert Curtius, later became a well-known scholar of Romance languages and literature (he translated T. S. Eliot’s *The Waste Land* from English into German), with whom Keller corresponded until well beyond World War II. “The Curtius family home in Strasbourg was a bridge between countries and minds.”

At the Curtius’s, Keller met Albert Schweitzer, who paid the family almost daily visits. Schweitzer had been adjunct professor of theology in Strasbourg since 1902. Keller observed:

> If one had spent the afternoon sitting on the organ bench [at Saint Thomas] with Schweitzer, where he brought to life the idiosyncracies of the old Bach, in the evenings he acquainted one with the modern Bach, who bridged the old strict style with marvelous fioritura which . . . represented the full scale of human emotions . . . Schweitzer had something of Bucer’s ecumenical and modern manners, the latter being the actual reformer and precursor of the ecumenical movement. It was in those days that he conceived the plan to travel to Africa.

Thereafter, Keller and Schweitzer saw each other only seldom, but they corresponded from time to time. When Keller attended the large ecumenical congress hosted by Life and Work in Stockholm in 1925, Schweitzer wrote to him: “However, here I sit in Lambarene, where I treat boils, build huts, houses, and latrines, and steadily lose the use of my pen.”

28. Ibid., 191–92.
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In 1904 Keller was offered a position at the “German Reformed Parish”\(^{30}\) in Geneva. He accepted without hesitating, since he was attracted by the city’s urban atmosphere. Keller was fortunate enough to belong to the *Vénérable Compagnie des Pasteurs*, the association of Protestant Geneva clergymen going back to the time of Reformation. There he met Eugène Choisy, who became perhaps his closest friend and a lifelong companion.\(^{31}\) Keller held his services in the *Auditoire*, as Calvin’s lecture hall was called, which is located adjacent to the cathedral. Much work awaited him. The parish extended across the city, and from 1908 across the whole canton. On paper, its membership totaled several thousand parishioners, but approaching them proved difficult. Membership fluctuated significantly, and those who remained became *Romands* (French-speaking Swiss) within a few years. Owing to his considerable efforts, including many house calls, Keller managed somewhat to pull the parish together. In 1907, at least sixty-nine male parishioners attended the parish assembly meeting. On public holidays, attendance was far greater so that services had to be held at the Madeleine, the old city church.

Keller received much support from Theophil Fuog, president of the parish council. Fuog greeted the proposals of his enterprising new pastor with great enthusiasm. Among Keller’s ideas were the enrichment of the liturgy, the accompanying of church services with more singing, the founding of a church choir, the introduction of a parish bulletin, the establishment of group discussions on “the difficult questions of spiritual life,”\(^{32}\) and the organization of concerts, exhibitions, parish evenings, and a lecture series. One of the cycles was devoted to the prophet Elijah, of whom Keller had grown fond on his ascents of Mount Moses during his earlier spell in Egypt. Another cycle focused on “The Image of Christ in Art.”\(^{33}\) Keller’s most daring wish was for a parish hall, which was a new idea at the time. The parish council embraced the idea, and the investment proved worthwhile: the hall, which exists to this day, soon became indispensable.

Keller often resorted to humor to rouse his somewhat passive parish. In the parish bulletin, he published a piece titled “A Dream,” which envisioned a gathering of the parish in the *Auditoire*:

\(^{30}\) The parish has been known since 1940 as the German-Swiss Protestant Parish of Geneva; see Göhring, *75 Jahre*.

\(^{31}\) Keller and Choisy were both active in the Federation of Swiss Protestant Churches, in the ecumenical movement, and in Keller’s relief agency.

\(^{32}\) Minutes of the Church Council, February 1, 1905 (archive of the Geneva Church Parish).

\(^{33}\) Ibid., February 21, 1907.
All of a sudden, a noise descended from the gallery as if someone had banged their fist irately on the cornice. Look at how everyone raises their heads—now would that not be the great Johann Sebastian Bach himself, he who has blessed us with such magnificent chorales . . . ? “What, is this supposed to be a hymn ad majorem Dei gloriam, to the greater glory of God? If this be the case, then the dear Lord will surely hold his hands over his ears . . . True evangelical church singing is pure delight, strength, and merrymaking emanating straight from the heart. Sometimes, it must resound through the edifice like blaring trumpets, . . . sometimes like affectionate shawms . . .” Then, everything set in powerfully, and I, too, joined in the singing, until I awoke from hearing my own voice, and realized that it had only been a dream.34

The majority of parishioners were craftsmen, factory workers, or nannies. For the first time since becoming a parish pastor, Keller was confronted with poverty. He observed with great concern that many workers, disappointed by its lack of interest in their predicament, turned their backs on the church. It was precisely at this time that a religious-social movement began to emerge in Switzerland.35 Keller was close to the movement. In his sermons, he demanded higher wages and called upon the affluent to have “a subtle conscience”: he considered the divide between rich and poor “the most pressing problem.”36

The Geneva popular vote on the separation of church and state, held in 1907, presented another problem: the motion was approved owing to the votes of the free churches and of the secular part of the electorate. Like the majority of his parish, Keller was disappointed. While he made no qualms about his dismay, anger, and fear, he also sought to offer his congregation encouragement: “If we can summon the feeling in such a predicament that Jesus is with us, then we are safe.”37 Not only should the church be “the Lord’s home for all,” but there was also a need for a “wide, open church.”38

34. Keller, “Ein Traum.”
35. The movement was founded in 1906 by, among other theologians, Leonhard Ragaz and Hermann Kutter.
37. Sermon on Matthew 8:23–27, delivered on July 7, 1907 (ibid.).
38. Sermon on the Parable of the Weeds among the Wheat, Matthew 13:24–30, delivered on June 30, 1907, (ibid.).
Notwithstanding his many duties, Keller resumed his attendance at lectures on theology and classical Arabic. Throughout his five years in Geneva, he attended all of Théodore Flournoy’s lectures and seminars on psychology, in order to become a better pastor. Like Sigmund Freud and C. G. Jung, Flournoy had trained as a medical doctor. One of his friends was William James, who was among the first to speak of the “unconscious mind.” James and Flournoy were among the founders of the psychology of religion. Flournoy’s lectures were always listed as *Psychologie expérimentale* (experimental psychology), his seminars as *Exercices pratiques dans le laboratoire de psychologie expérimentale et recherches spéciales*

39. Edited by Flournoy and Claparède, the *Archives de Psychologie de la Suisse Romande* was the first journal of psychology to be published in Switzerland.

(practical exercises in the experimental psychology laboratory and special research). In his classes, Flournoy discussed current affairs, reflected on contemporary debates, and explored parapsychological and pathological phenomena. Like Freud, he considered dreams to hold the key to the unconscious. Keller was impressed by Flournoy, whom he described as “a warm-hearted and upright Christian with a liberal disposition,” and who stood for a “theology of experience.” Noticeably, Keller’s sermons all of a sudden included references to “Jesus, the doctor of the soul,” or to Jesus as the one who had recognized man’s “paralyzed soul.”

Then a highly significant event occurred: on September 26, 1909, the young Karl Barth took up his appointment as an assistant minister in the Geneva parish, a position that had been created to ease the burden on Keller. For a few weeks, Barth thus officiated as Keller’s curate. These few weeks were decisive, not least because Keller had met the young, “mercurial” violinist Nelly Hoffmann through Theophil Fuog, the acting president of the parish council. Keller and Nelly would often play music at her family’s home. After Keller left Geneva, Nelly attended the confirmation class taught by Barth, and a few years later she became his wife. The couple was married by Keller in Nydegg Church in Bern on March 27, 1913. Some years later, Keller observed that Barth had “exhibited a refreshing lack of respect for both what used to be and what had come to be,” and had “started the world over from scratch.” Furthermore,

To him, the whole of famous Geneva, the center of Calvinism . . . , was no more than an international hodgepodge that lacked spirit and character. He added salt and pepper . . . The acting minister [Keller] introduced the curate to his duties and responsibilities as a parish pastor, to the impoverished attics of St Gervais, to undertaking social welfare and pastoral work . . . , that is to say, to a thoroughly well-defined position. In turn, the young curate soon introduced the acting minister to other affairs and concerns, including the social tensions that he had experienced far more strongly in Germany as a young adherent of the religious-social movement than we were accustomed to

43. Keller, “The Healing of the Paralytic.”
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in Switzerland . . . Barth’s sermons brought home to his listeners the urgency of his message, and they left no place for incidental considerations . . . He was capable of grasping an older colleague . . . by the scruff, and challenging him: “Where is your impetus?” . . . Today, I no longer know who was whose curate.46

Keller regretted that he was unable to continue his cooperation with Barth after assuming office in Zurich at the end of October 1909.47 Conversely, Barth hailed Keller as “an immensely rich and multifarious spirit,” and asserted that “we also had a good understanding in theological affairs.”48 Barth preserved Keller’s humorous style in the parish bulletin; like his predecessor’s, his contributions also made frequent reference to everyday experience. Following Barth’s appointment as pastor in Safenwil, a blue-collar, working-class village, Keller wrote to him thus: “Your Safenwil sermon is well conceived, well structured, and persuasively formulated, but it is the work of a young man and an outgrowth of your study. I wonder how your folks are supposed to understand you.”49 Keller and Barth remained lifelong associates, even though their relationship was not always free of conflict.

It was with quite some reluctance that the Geneva parish allowed Keller to leave. He departed with mixed feelings, since the post had permitted his creative imagination to run free. On relocating to Zurich, at the age of almost thirty-eight, Keller was still young, and yet already highly experienced. His appointment to St Peter’s Church in Zurich had astonished him, since the parish was a “citadel of liberal Christianity.”50 Keller, however, did not consider himself a liberal theologian. Jakob Escher-Bürkli, president of St Peter’s Parish, came down firmly on his side, because he considered Keller “a strong personality,” a pastor endowed with a vivacious and original mind.51 And thus, Keller accepted the appointment. He was to remain at St Peter’s until the end of 1923.

46. Keller, manuscript, presumably dating from after 1919 (NLAK A Folder Unpublished Manuscripts).
48. Barth to W. Spoendlin, November 12, 1909; cited in Busch, Karl Barth, His Life from Letters, 53.
49. Keller to Barth, undated letter, presumably written in the spring of 1915 (KBA 9315.38).
51. Ibid., July 7, 1909.
MARRIAGE AND FAMILY LIFE

In the autumn of 1911, Keller was visiting Conrad and Albertina Jenny in Thalwil, a village situated on the Lake of Zurich. He had taught their son religious studies at Schaffhausen Grammar School. The younger Conrad Jenny, who had meanwhile entered law school, was enthusiastic about Keller's sermons at St Peter's. He arranged for Keller to visit the family, and also invited his older sister, Tina Jenny, who was aged twenty-four at the time. After supper, Keller sat down at the piano, as so often on such occasions.52

Keller thus entered an upper-class milieu. The villagers referred to the Jenny villa as the “castle.” Tina Jenny’s parents both came from families of textile manufacturers in the Canton of Glarus. “I was born in a Victorian-style home,” she later observed in her autobiography.53 As a young man, her father had spent several years in India and in England. While he modeled himself upon the English gentleman, her mother styled herself as a nineteenth-century lady.54 Tina Jenny and her three younger siblings received private tuition at home. At the age of sixteen, she realized that she wanted to break out of the bourgeois “aristocracy” and belong to the common people. At the age of eighteen, she was sent to Cheltenham Ladies College in the South of England. One of the principal aims of the College was to awaken a sense of social responsibility among its students. Tina decided to become a nurse. In her autobiography, she noted that “my parents could not understand this.”55 At the age of twenty, she became a hard-working hospital nurse and discovered a hitherto unknown happiness. She went on to do an internship in a slum in the East End of London. She spent several weeks in shared accommodation in Saint Hilda’s Settlements. The tenements had been established to systematically combat social misery and to provide purposeful poor relief.56 Their resident staff were mostly young people, who often followed a religious calling and worked gratuitously. Every Monday, Tina Jenny visited twelve families, each of which had a disabled child. She looked after elderly people, often spending long hours with a “sweet elderly couple” who occupied a tiny room up many flights of stairs in a back alley. “Probably, the greatest misery occurs

52. Keller, “Aus meinem Leben,” 70; see also Tina Keller, “In Memoriam.”
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where illness exacerbates poverty . . .; particularly where tuberculosis and infant mortality are rife.” Tina Jenny entered a new world, and began contemplating the meaning and value of life.57

On the occasion of his first visit to the Jennys, Keller played a Beethoven Sonata. “I fell in love with my future husband while he played the piano.”58 She told him about London. He admired her ability “to empathize with the unfortunate and to embrace their distress,”59 and suggested that she furnish a written account of her experiences among the poor. Tina, who was fifteen years younger than Adolf, lacked the confidence to do this, and so he offered to help her. The account was published in Neue Wege (New Paths), a religious-social journal. “As we were writing down these experiences, we entered each other’s hearts so completely that the decision to ask for her hand fell into my lap like a ripe apple.”60 Adolf Keller and Tina Jenny were married in January 1912. “Far from being a large and glamorous wedding, we were married in Thalwil church; after the ceremony, there was a hearty reception for our dear friends at Castle Thalwil; we took off on our honeymoon the same day.” The newly wed couple traveled to Egypt, where they enjoyed “unforgettable days and hours.”61

Following her husband’s death, Tina Keller wrote: “I was very happy in my marriage . . . I was nearly breathless as I stepped from such a very narrow home into such a wide space . . . Everything seemed so wide that I was bewildered for joy and excitement . . . There was something so healthy about my husband, everything was so natural. He was a spirited man, but his ideas and also his religion were natural.”62 At the end of 1912, their first child, Doris, was born. Two years later, Paul was born. “During the first three years we had two children that were a delight. My babies thrived and my pregnancies were among my healthiest times.”63 Over the years, two more girls, Margrit and Esther, were born, followed by another son, Pierre, in 1927. In the first years of her marriage, Tina Keller-Jenny attended almost exclusively to her children. Years later, her husband regretted not spending more time with his children. Nevertheless, family
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life had been warm and affectionate. In her recollections, Doris wrote: “We were often embraced . . . My parents promised each other that their children could remain spontaneous . . . We talked about everything.”

Tina Keller-Jenny wanted to emulate her husband: “His knowledge, his tremendous commitment to work, and his concentration seemed to be like . . . a distant wonderland. I envied him.” Furthermore, “my husband’s harmonious spontaneity demonstrated a more pleasant kind of life, which I wanted to acquire also.” “He worked with ease and joy, but he did not force himself . . . More and more I wished I could also find such creativity.” “Gradually I now came to the insight that I needed more education.” She earned her baccalaureate after only one and half years of study. “Adolf received me with pride, with a Latin inscription he had written for my homecoming. He could show such joy and warmth.” Now, however, the mother of three wished to study medicine. At first, Keller “was angry, hurt, disappointed.” But he realized that Tina’s idea was not a passing fancy; thus he needed to give her a free hand if she was not to become unhappy. “True loyalty,” he wrote later, “accompanies the development and transformations of our fellow human beings.”

While pursuing her medical studies, Tina Keller also ensured that her children suffered no lack of “emotional affection.” One of her teachers was C. G. Jung. She became one of the first female psychiatrists in Switzerland, and soon made a name for herself. She was now her husband’s equal. But the hours they spent together were few, not least owing to Keller’s increasing professional commitments. “I would like to add, that in spite of being often lonely, of having difficulties in accepting many absences of
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my husband, I was happy to be the wife of a man who was consecrated to a great humanitarian work.”

SOCIAL COMMITMENT: ST PETER’S CHURCH IN ZURICH (1909–1923)

St Peter’s was a proud, tradition-conscious parish. Seating over a thousand people at the time, the parish church—with its massive tower and enormous clock—is one of Zurich’s landmarks. In the Middle Ages, St Peter’s had been the parish church in Zurich. During the Reformation, Leo Judae, Huldrych Zwingli’s friend and associate, had officiated there, while the late eighteenth century witnessed the appointment of Johann Caspar Lavater, who became famous for his Physiognomische Fragmente (Physiognomical Fragments) and for his friendship with Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi and Johann Wolfgang von Goethe. Upon his arrival, Keller took up residence in Lavater’s rectory. His study was the so-called “Lavaterstube”; the growing Keller family soon filled the large house with cheerful life.

The parish consisted of two very different parts: the old-town parish, which consisted largely of ordinary people, and the so-called friends, who

lived outside the parish, either on the Zurichberg, a wooded hill overlooking the town, or in one of the neighboring villages on the Lake of Zurich. Consequently, Keller had more than one hundred confirmands in his charge. His preaching style was spirited and vivid:

Faith is first and foremost a matter of trust, and without trust no human relationship and no human venture is possible. You board a train and travel overnight across vertiginous bridges, through thundering tunnels, and past deep abysses. You lie down on your bunk and are perfectly still . . . But do you know whether the engine driver is trustworthy, whether the switch man at his junction will do his duty, whether the stationmaster is at his post, whether the engineer has correctly calculated the tension of the bridge, whether the iron supplier has delivered good material . . . ? You do not know this, but you believe it to be true . . . Without such faith, neither education, nor the art of medicine, nor indeed science, which all believe that the human mind can grasp reality, would be conceivable . . . Thus, it is good that we need faith, as an activity of the soul, already on our daily journey through life, and how much more we need it on the journey into a distant, unknown future! Thus, we feel safe in trusting that a higher power will watch over our journey, switch the points, and know the destination.77

Keller experienced the traditions of his parish as a brake on progress. Only owing to his sheer persistence did he succeed in introducing various changes. Among other achievements, he prized open the existing “stolidity and monotony”78 of the liturgy, introduced a parish bulletin, initiated discussion evenings on theological issues, founded a parish association as well as two associations for confirmed youths. Yet a further accomplishment was the establishment of a Sunday School. Moreover, he submitted a plea for a parish hall for the four old-town parishes, a request that was received with incomprehension by them. However, the council of St Peter’s agreed to fund the building of a hall in the rectory to accommodate Keller’s wide range of activities.

Keller made no secret of the fact that he socialized with “friends” of the parish, many of whom belonged to the local “high society.” For instance, Jakob Escher-Bürkli, president of the parish council, was also a member of the town’s leading social circles. Other “friends” included Max Huber, a renowned expert on international law, who was later appointed
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judge at the Permanent Court of International Justice at The Hague, and afterwards became president of the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). Many years later, Escher-Bürkli, Huber, and other “friends” provided Keller’s ecumenical and humanitarian work with much-needed moral and material support.

Keller, however, remained true to his social impetus. Thus, he reprinted the explicitly progressive “Social Creed” of the Federal Council of the Churches of Christ in America in St Peter’s parish bulletin.79 The document demanded the abolition of child labor, shorter working hours, and an old-age pension scheme for all workers, thus nothing less than the eradication of poverty. For Keller, such an endeavor meant placing the world under the sovereign principles of the Gospel. His duties at St Peter’s included the supervision of prison inmates detained in his parish: “In my capacity as parish pastor, I have sat next to many young prisoners in their cells and listened to their predicament.”80 The question foremost on his mind was how and for which reasons a prisoner had blundered into committing an offense.81 In contemplating these questions, he often cited unfavorable social surroundings and fateful circumstances. To assist those serving prison sentences in gaining a foothold in everyday life upon their release, he demanded that they receive proper supervision. Keller’s social awareness also becomes evident in his stance on the national strike that engulfed Switzerland at the end of 1918, as a result of the deprivation suffered during the war years. With dismay, he listened to the shots fired by the military at the demonstrating workers: “Thus, there was bloodshed among brothers.”82

Keller did not shy away from summoning his partly very affluent congregation to assume responsibility: “The leading and educated classes must realize that their culture, their intellectual property, . . . constitute a boundless obligation.”83

From Albert Schweitzer, now a “jungle doctor” in Lambarene, Keller received word of the brutal consequences of colonialism. He was deeply shaken by Schweitzer’s account and indignant at “how Christian peoples

have occupied the heart of Africa among its local peoples.”84 His criticism of social injustices, wherever they existed, concurred with that voiced by Leonhard Ragaz and Hermann Kutter, the two leading figures of the religious social movement in Switzerland. Karl Barth and Emil Brunner were also close to the movement.85 Opinions, however, were divided on whether Christians could play a part in establishing the Kingdom of God promised by the Bible, that is, a kingdom of peace and justice. According to Keller, Barth was inclined to leave the establishment of the Kingdom of God alone to God, since man was weak; Ragaz, by contrast, placed too much confidence in man and depicted him as God’s associate. In 1915, Keller wrote to Barth in this matter.86 He distanced himself both from Barth’s one-sided quietism and also from Ragaz’s exaggerated activism. Not untypically, as his later life and career prove, he thus assumed the role of an intermediary. Keller’s reflections on this fundamental theological problem were immensely significant for his later involvement in the ecumenical movement under the auspices of Life and Work, where this question played a pivotal role.

LIFE-CONCERN AS LIFE-STANCE: C. G. JUNG AND HENRI BERGSON

Barely after his arrival in Zurich, Keller joined the discussion group at Burghölzli psychiatric clinic that gathered around Eugen Bleuler, its director, and C. G. Jung: he immediately recognized the significance of psychoanalysis for the psychology of religion, pastoral care, and pedagogy.87 “The question concerning the boundary between psychiatry and the ministry” had preoccupied Keller since his studies in psychology in Geneva.88 Keller
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and his fellow student Oskar Pfister were the only two theologians in the Burghölzli circle. Together with Bleuer and Jung, Keller attended the third International Psychoanalytical Congress in Weimar in 1911. There, he met Sigmund Freud, whom he described as a “scientific magician endowed with immense authority.”

At the fourth psychoanalytical congress in Munich in 1912, Keller witnessed the break between Freud and Jung. He took sides with Jung, since he found Freud’s claim that every neurosis stemmed from a sexual trauma too one sided.

In 1913, C. G. Jung and his wife, Emma, founded the Verein für Analytische Psychologie (Association for Analytical Psychology), and then in 1916 the Psychologischer Club (Psychological Club). Keller often gave talks there, such as on the “Psychology of Great Mass Movements” (1924), a feverishly debated political issue at the time. It perturbed him that for the “actual club members, psychoanalysis became a worldview. For me, however, it remained a method.”

He sought to convince the “Jungians” of the merits of Christianity, for instance, by delivering an innovative talk on “The Gospel and Christianity,” in which he referred to the Gospel as a life impulse that had broken out of the depths of the soul and the mind: “It is . . . a vital force, an incursion of new life forces, which pointed humanity’s thoughts and aspirations in a new direction.” The gospel, he argued, was at once both impetus and moral force. In its purest form, it was incarnated in the figure of Jesus Christ, who placed perfect humanity before the eyes of mankind:

Already the boy was said to evince traces of beginning individuation. The boy leaves his home and family . . . He retreats into solitude, into the desert, and he opposes to collective constraint his sovereign “But I say unto you.” . . . He died in solitude . . . If we [in psychoanalysis] speak of complexes, then we mean the same bonds that the Gospel expresses through the rule of the flesh, of the law, and of sin.
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Keller was one of the first theologians who sought to understand the life and conduct of Jesus in terms of analytical psychology. However, he also pointed to the limits of such a reinterpretation: Jesus, he argued, had accomplished “a real detachment from man’s inner bondage.”

Keller observed an increase in severe mental health problems, which he attributed to the spiritual upheavals of his time. In various articles on psychoanalysis and psychotherapy, he suggested that neurotic disorders, such as hysteria, compulsive obsessive behavior, anxiety, and inhibitions, were caused by psychic disorders, and therefore required “psychic treatment.” He argued that the methods of pastoral care and spiritual welfare employed hitherto had been inadequate to effectively treating these predicaments. As a pastor, however, it was his duty to offer support, healing, and meaning to the suffering. For this reason, he ventured into dream analysis, which he conceived merely as a preliminary to the proclamation of Christ. Many years later, Keller’s wife, herself a trained psychiatrist, credited him for being “very successful with psychoanalysis.” One case in point was one of Keller’s former confirmees, a violin student, who all of a sudden could no longer move her hand. Since the doctors treating the woman had been baffled by her condition, she sought Keller’s assistance: “It looked miraculous that through dream-interpretation it was possible that a paralyzed hand could again function.”

One particular case of Keller’s efficacious pastoral care was Edith Rockefeller McCormick, an American who arrived in Zurich in 1913. She was the daughter of John D. Rockefeller Senior and the wife of Harold McCormick, chairman of the Harvester Company in Chicago. Suffering from a neurosis, she underwent eight years of psychoanalytic treatment with C. G. Jung. Jung sent her to consult Keller: “One day, Rockefeller’s daughter stood in the Lavaterstube [Keller’s study] and said ‘I would like to see human beings.’” For an entire year, she accompanied me on my visits to the small alleys in the Schipfe (a then-poor quarter in the parish of St Peter’s), where I dragged her by the hand up putrid staircases and pas-

95. Ibid., 6ff.
96. Keller contributed the articles on “Psychoanalysis” and “Psychotherapy” to the first edition of the encyclopedia Religion in Geschichte und Gegenwart (1913).
97. Keller, Von Geist und Liebe, 42–43.
98. Keller to Ragaz, April 7, 1918 (StAZ W I 67 103.2).
100. Tina Keller, “In Memoriam.”
101. Keller, Von Geist und Liebe, 44.
Adolf Keller

sageways, so that she was forced to take out her smelling salts on reaching the top; thus she became acquainted with the lives of ‘other people’ and with the phenomenology of poverty.”102 Keller hoped that these forays into low life would make it easier for her to break out of her ivory tower. Later, his acquaintance with Edith Rockefeller McCormick opened many a door for Keller in the United States.

Keller’s relationship with Jung became increasingly ambivalent. As he observed in 1935, Jung spoke of God not as a metaphysical reality, but instead meant no more than religious experience. This, he further asserted, could not be equated with what Christian theology referred to as the Revelation. Religion, said Keller, was not a creation of the conscious ego.103

Every day he would spend one or two hours perusing new publications in literature, theology, and philosophy. He was a member of the philosophical working group around Ernest Bovet, professor of romance studies at the University of Zurich. But he believed that philosophy so far had been overly determined by a fundamental rationalistic attitude. In his eyes, this would not afford a real understanding of life. He was therefore profoundly intrigued by the new approach to philosophy advanced by Henri Bergson, a professor at the Collège de France in Paris. Bergson’s thinking struck a powerful chord with Keller. He published several articles on Bergson’s philosophy in Wissen und Leben (Knowledge and Life), a journal edited by Ernest Bovet. In 1914, these essays were published in a book-length monograph titled Eine Philosophie des Lebens (A Philosophy of Life).104

Bergson called for a “return to life.” He argued that immediate experience stood at the beginning of knowledge.105 Using terms and concepts, human reason attempted to classify experience according to certain schemata for the purpose of making inroads on cognition. The shortcomings of this attempt became most plainly evident, as Keller observed in Eine Philosophie des Lebens, “where reason seeks to grasp psychic life and to express it through its terms and concepts.” Further, “these are the limits of the intellect per se, which fails whenever life, movement, and becoming are concerned.” Bergson, said Keller, placed a “higher, more valuable” form of cognition alongside the rational, “pragmatic” kind. Finally, “it is
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not the intellect, but intuition that affords us knowledge.” Life, movement, becoming, intuition rank among Bergson's keywords.

Artistic inspiration provided evidence for the existence of human intuition, or as Keller asked: “Do not Johann Sebastian Bach's St Matthew Passion or Michelangelo’s Pietà accomplish a more immediate relationship to religious life than the concepts of dogmatic theology?” The object of intuition is life, that is, organic matter, whereas it was precisely the essence of life that slipped away from reason. Intuition, however, readily grasps this. According to Bergson, the nature of human life was quintessentially spiritual: “Indeed, the soul is the actual ‘impulse in life,’ which urges forward in all rhythms of becoming . . . Only in free and creative life does life break asunder its chains, come to itself, and realize its essence.” Keller associates this “disquiet in life,” or what Bergson called the élan vital, with Jungian psychology, according to which the “libido” is that living psychic energy that contains all growth and becoming. He noted, furthermore, that until that period Bergson had scarcely commented on religion: “But it is not too difficult to recognize the value of the philosophy of intuition for religious thinking . . . The intellect corrodes religion, and where it alone approaches the religious phenomenon, it must destroy it; for it cannot tolerate the unconditional and absolute; religion, however, is anchored therein.” Bergson’s philosophy pointed to a new metaphysics, which once again perceived depth, creative force, and new promises in life. Amid a world characterized by a sophisticated material and intellectual culture, Bergson’s philosophy represented “a cry for the soul and for inwardness.”

Bergson felt understood by Keller: “I have long been meaning to tell you that I have read your penetrating and valiant study of my work in ‘Wissen und Leben’ with great interest.” Following Keller’s review of Bergson’s latest book, L’Energie Spirituelle (Spiritual Energy) in the reputed Neue Zürcher Zeitung, Bergson observed in a letter to Keller: “You have analyzed the selected chapters with extreme precision.” In January 1918, a year before the end of World War I, Bergson invited Keller
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to Paris to attend his inauguration ceremony at the Académie Française. Keller described the occasion as “a literary and political event” of the first rank. 113 Bergson, he noted, spoke before the “elite of France.” 114 After the ceremony, Bergson invited Keller for supper at his home.

In the curriculum vitae submitted at his final university examinations, Keller had already suggested that religion was carried neither by abstract ideas or teachings nor by dogmas, but instead by individuals and their relations with life. 115 From this early statement, this theme runs through his preoccupation with Théodore Flournoy and C. G. Jung, and his interest in Bergson’s philosophy, to his worldwide ecumenical activity.
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