Apocalyptic eschatology sets us questions that lead us into the heart of the relationship between ideas and their expression in culture. The significance of apocalyptic eschatology becomes apparent upon a brief consideration of its immense existential scope. Human beings seem to possess an extraordinary capacity to rise above present adversity by looking forward to future possibilities out of which to create new horizons of hope. Apocalyptic-eschatological language furnishes the human imagination with a munificent array of conceptual possibilities through which to envision an “ideal world.”¹ These conceptual possibilities can be directed towards the transfiguration of present circumstances through hope. Such hope is predicated on the power of the apocalyptic-eschatological imagination to conceive of the future as the realm of “realised possibility.”² Apocalyptic eschatology postulates an earth-shattering vision of hope by providing an overarching conception of reality and cosmic purpose through which to surmount the tragedy, irony and apparent contingency of human experience. The ubiquity of the utopian impulse throughout history in diverse religions and cultures testifies to the notion that the hope for a better world is an ineradicable fact of the human condition.³

Apocalyptic eschatology is the language of human hope.⁴ As such it supplies the conceptual resources through which utopian impulses are articulated and enacted in human life and history. Although often associated specifically with Christian theology, apocalyptic eschatology,
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as “an inherent . . . component of the human condition,” is a matter of decisive historical significance that extends beyond particular denominations and theological perspectives. A proper phenomenology of the human condition recognizes that hope, as a primary conviction involving the will as well as reasons and feelings, inhabits every aspect of human motivation and action. Hope, in the words of Ernst Bloch, is “a basic feature of human consciousness.” Hope initiates a process of existential transformation through which human subjects are endowed with the capacity to engage in creative acts of self-surpassing and self-transcendence and thereby to elevate themselves to new levels of being.

Yet far from being confined to existential conceptions of individual development, hope has social consequences that can transform cultures and alter the course of world history. The shaping power of apocalyptic-eschatological hope as a change-agent of cultures consists in the imaginative vision it sets forth for social reconciliation and cosmic consummation. Theologians have alluded to the notion of a “messianic idea,” which denotes a gradual yet inexorable spiritual resolution in human history. The culmination of this idea is described as a utopian golden age in which “all that is in heaven and under the earth flows together in one laudatory voice.”

The principle of a messianic resolution perceives in the historical process not a chaotic improvisation of unrelated accidents but a dynamic unfolding development which moves towards a determined telos of “a fuller disclosure and realisation of life’s essential meaning.” In the Christian understanding, this resolution is manifested in the eschatological metaphor of the kingdom of God and the conviction that history is orientated according to a messianic purpose. History is under the control of a benevolent, sovereign Lord who will ultimately save the world and its inhabitants from sin, death, persecution, fear, angst and every other kind of existential impediment to human flourishing. According to this interpretation, the messianic resolution of history will culminate

5. Gardiner, “Bakhtin’s Carnival: Utopia as Critique,” 44.
6. Macquarrie, In Search of Humanity, 244.
in a “glorious appearing” (Titus 2:13) of the “Son of man sitting on the right hand of power, and coming in the clouds of heaven” (Matt 26:64).

Far from being confined to the dusty monographs of erudite, esoteric theological scholarship, apocalyptic eschatology is, and has been throughout history, an integral and universal part of human history and experience, reaching into the hidden depths of both popular and elite cultural production.11 “Apocalypse,” asserts Thomas Altizer, “is at the very center of an original Christianity, just as it has been a primal ground of a uniquely Western and Christian history and culture, and has even been reborn in our contemporary world.”12 Recent scholarship in millennial studies has reinforced the notion that “apocalyptic and millennial ideas are far more central to western historical consciousness than was previously recognized.”13 The apocalyptic-eschatological narrative of hope has the power to shape nations and to change the course of world history. For the Marxist-atheist thinker, Ernst Bloch, to be human meant to exhibit the capacity to hope. Thus arose Bloch’s formulation of the dynamic spero ergo ero14 as an inversion of Descartes’ static cogito ergo sum. Out of this primary conviction arose his notion of a “utopian impulse,” which he posited as the main driving force behind all of the great creative artistic, cultural and political achievements of humankind.15 Likewise, for Nikolai Berdyaev, the messianic idea of eschatological fulfilment was nothing less than the “basic theme of history.”16 Theologians have also recognized the visionary power of the apocalyptic-eschatological narrative on the course of world history. “The religious and secular enactments of this narrative,” notes Catherine
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Keller, “have generated . . . much of the dynamism of Western history.”  In his radical theological appropriation of Ernst Bloch’s *Das Prinzip Hoffnung* (1954–59), Jürgen Moltmann made a decisive contribution to our understanding of the power of this narrative in world history. Rejecting deterministic conceptions of historical progress, Moltmann opts for the notions of creativity and freedom that inhere in the idea of the fulfillment of the divine promise in history. Moreover, according to Paul Ricoeur’s commentary on Moltmann, this fulfilment signifies not an end or definitive closure but “an increase, a surplus, a ‘not yet’ which maintains the tension in history.”

History furnishes countless examples of the epoch-making dynamism of apocalyptic-eschatological convictions. “History from Abraham to Marx,” observes John Howard Yoder, “demonstrates that significant action, for good or for evil, is accomplished by those whose present action is illuminated by eschatological hope.” Every major world religion, including Judaism, Islam, Hinduism, and Buddhism (notwithstanding conspicuous differences both within and among these religions) has retained an apocalyptic-eschatological consciousness. Thus, despite fundamental differences in conceptions of historical development, each variation manifests a certain commonality on the point of its orientation towards the transcendence of death and the possibility of the transfiguration of present circumstances into a greater state of existence. These notions have been a wellspring of hope for countless millions throughout world history. The focus of this study,
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however, is upon a certain conception of apocalyptic eschatology that is associated specifically with Christian theology.

This distinctive contribution to our understanding of the underlying meaning of world history and human destiny was inaugurated two thousand years ago through the proclamation of a radical young Jewish preacher from the town of Nazareth who was destined to change the course of world history.²⁶ He began by announcing that the “kingdom of God” was “now at hand” (Mark 1:15). Towards the end of his life, before he was put to death by crucifixion, he declared that there would be a fearsome ordeal, a period of unprecedented adversity, known as “great tribulation”, which was to presage the end of the world (Matt 24:21).²⁷ According to the Gospel accounts, Christ, following his resurrection from the dead and before his ascension into heaven, left his followers with the reassurance that he would be with them “to the end of the age” together with an earlier promise that he would return again one day in glory and send his angels to gather up his chosen people “from the four winds” (Matt 28:20; 24:31).

This vision of hope finds its definitive expression in the final book of the Bible, the Revelation of John, in which a heavenly city, the New Jerusalem, is depicted. Many have regarded this distinctive depiction of cosmic consummation, in which a redeemed humanity is to enjoy perpetual bliss in this ultimate arena of human fulfilment and flourishing, as one of the most creative conceptions of human destiny ever to have been produced by the human imagination. Countless myriads down through the centuries of church history have been inspired by the depiction of the effulgence of the heavenly city, which will be such that God’s people will have “no need of the light of the sun or moon for the Lord their God will be radiant in their midst” (Rev 22:5). Despite the lapse of nearly two thousand years since these prophecies were first transcribed, the Christian vision of apocalyptic-eschatological hope has inspired innumerable generations and remains the basis of hope for millions throughout the world today.

²⁶ In saying this it is important to note the indebtedness of Christian conceptions of apocalyptic eschatology to the preceding prophetic tradition of ancient Hebraic texts and the great extent to which Christ himself draws on the imaginative apocalyptic-eschatological visions depicted in earlier Jewish scriptures. This will be acknowledged in more detail in chapter 2.

But apocalyptic eschatology, in common with all major historical change-agents, has its dark sides. On this point Paul Ricoeur’s remark is particularly lapidary: “evil and hope are more closely connected than we will ever think them.”28 Twentieth-century history testifies to the diverse manifestations of apocalyptic eschatology and to the catastrophic consequences of misplaced millennial optimism.29 Beneath the maniacal fantasies of Adolf Hitler and the callous brutality of Joseph Stalin’s purges there lay varying degrees of an apocalyptic-eschatological conception of history.30 Many studies have demonstrated that Hitler believed that he was engaged in an apocalyptic struggle against the Jews and Communists on behalf of Christian civilization.31 As an important part of the programme of its brazen and systematic campaign of falsification of German history, the Nazi propaganda machine seized upon the notion of the “Third Reich” which was made to correspond with the “age of the Holy Spirit” alluded to by the medieval apocalyptic seer, Joachim of Flora.32 Moreover, the apocalyptic undertones of the Nazi conception of the “Final Solution” (die Endlösung) are unmistakably apparent.33 As historians, theologians, and literary theorists have pointed out, the Nazi’s conception of the thousand-year Reich was inspired explicitly by the millennial reign of the saints prophesied in Revelation 20.34 Similar claims have also been made with regard to Communism,
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which some have argued was just as much a messianic, apocalyptic religion as it was a political ideology. The important point to draw from this in terms of the present study is that apocalyptic belief has its dark sides. Although utopian visions can inspire human agents to heroic acts of compassion and self-sacrifice, their implied hermeneutic of absolute commitment also render them vulnerable to dehumanizing ideologies. Put to the service of such ideologies, millennial belief can exacerbate what one theologian calls “the self-destructive potentialities of human creativity.” Future endeavors in millennial studies must thus be aware of a central paradox of apocalyptic belief: that is, the extent to which millennialism provides an auspicious ideological habitat in which the most extravagant affirmations of human hope can coexist with the most fearsome expressions of inhuman terror and brutality.

Moreover, the blood-curdling rhetoric of many biblical apocalyptic-eschatological texts have frequently provoked strenuous objections on account of their alleged inhumanity and brutality which, it is claimed, have been seized upon by religious fanatics as a warrant for their militant and violent agendas. It would be impertinent to reject such criticisms as mere idle remonstrations, for, as Harry O. Maier rightly points out in his following list of thought-provoking questions:

Who . . . does not pale at Revelation’s two-hundred-mile-long river of human blood, as high as a horse’s bridle, pouring out from the winepress of God’s wrath (Rev. 14:20)? Whose stomach
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The texts of biblical apocalyptic eschatology, in particular the book of Revelation, have also been indicted on account of their alleged militant content which is said to provide ideological fuel for the hell-fire sermonising and irresponsible psychological manipulation of religious communities by pathological egomaniacs. The unimaginable terror of the Jonestown episode of 1978 which resulted in the mass “suicide” of 918 people provides us with a vertiginous example of the calamitous consequences which can ensue when a perverted utopian ideology, derived largely from esoteric readings of apocalyptic-eschatological texts, is abused by a charismatic leader. The tragic deaths of the Branch Davidians in 1993 likewise illustrate the catastrophic ramifications which can arise from the misuse of apocalyptic eschatology. This deadly altercation at Mount Carmel in Waco, Texas, arose when another charismatic leader, David Koresh, declared himself to be the Lamb of the Apocalypse and gathered together a band of followers who were convinced by his proclamation of the imminent fulfilment of the opening of the seven seals of Revelation 5. The result was a conflagration between Koresh’s supporters and federal agents that led to an inferno in which seventy-six men, women, children, and infants were incinerated. Jonestown and Waco were both (to varying degrees) underpinned by utopian, esoteric interpretations of apocalyptic-eschatological biblical texts, which transpired in tragedy.

The rich and diverse landscape of Northern Ireland evangelicalism during the Troubles is ideally suited to this study of the ways in which apocalyptic eschatology can transform cultures. Like all cultural contexts in which apocalyptic-eschatological themes retained a compelling
contemporary relevance, the history of Northern Ireland during the late twentieth century, although avoiding the same kind of conflagrations as Jonestown and Waco, nevertheless manifested both the light and dark sides of apocalyptic eschatology. For some the biblical apocalyptic-eschatological texts engendered a sense of foreboding and insecurity which manifested itself as cultural pessimism, social exclusion or sectarian violence. For others apocalyptic eschatology was interpreted as a vision of hope and a prototype of the redeemed community and, as such, was used to promote a core ethic of inclusive humanity and compassion in order to surmount the conventional distinctions between “Protestant” and “Catholic.”

The ways in which a community’s interpretation of apocalyptic-eschatological texts illuminates important characteristics of the community itself is one of the issues addressed in the following study. Thus I shall examine the ways in which these texts became embodied in the various evangelical communities. The aim will be to portray the apocalyptic-eschatological beliefs and basic theological convictions of the much-misunderstood cultures within Northern Ireland evangelicalism. My hope is that what follows will contribute to a better understanding of how apocalyptic eschatology influences culture—for better or worse—through an original analysis of its application to Northern Ireland during the Troubles.