The so-called godless age of the twentieth century did not preclude the rise of authentic witnesses, and Simone Weil is proof of that. Her whole life was an agonizing and, at the same time, subversive effort to save the dialectic between culture and holiness, steering it toward a dialogue and discovering, in the “holy madness,” a path of vital impulse for the world.

An Uncommon Child

Simone Weil was born in Paris on February 3, 1909, in the bosom of a wealthy Jewish family. Her father was a doctor, and her mother dedicated herself to working in the home and caring for the children; they always

1. This short biography of Simone Weil cites largely from the two-volume work of Simone Pétrement, Weil’s friend and biographer par excellence: *La vie de Simone Weil* (cited from here on with the abbreviation *SP* I or *SP* II). [Translator’s Note: There is no complete English translation of Pétrement’s two volumes; therefore, Raymond Rosenthal’s partial translation, *Simone Weil: A Life*, has been cited wherever appropriate.] However, other biographies, with fewer details, have been written on Simone Weil, and some have portrayed her life from a determinate perspective: Cabaud, *L’expérience vécue de Simone Weil*; Perrin, *Mon dialogue avec Simone Weil*; Rosa, *Simone Weil: Politica e mistica*; Khan et al., *Simone Weil, philosophe, historienne et mystique*; Perrin and Thibon, *Simone Weil telle que nous l’avons connue*; Davy, *Simone Weil*; Hourdin, *Simone Weil*; Fiori, *Simone Weil une femme absolue*; Plessix Gray, *Simone Weil*. 
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ensured that cultural refinements as well as healthy emotional expression and a hospitable openness permeated the family environment. According to Simone Pétrement, Madame Weil “had noble ambitions for her children, and the doctor certainly thought the same. . . . They neglected nothing in the children’s education in order that they might have access to the highest knowledge and, from there, the most demanding opportunities for action.”

From a very young age, Simone’s older brother, Andrés, showed himself to be exceptionally gifted intellectually. Simone had a great admiration for him, and a loving friendship united them. At the same time, she felt inferior because she did not consider herself as brilliant or clever as her brother. However, there was no jealousy or envy in her, but rather a sadness that she was unable to reach the truth, which was for Simone only accessible through knowledge and theoretical contemplation. At the age of thirteen, she experienced a profound crisis that she described as a “bottomless despair.” According to Pétrement, Simone would have seriously contemplated death, believing herself to be insufficiently gifted in intellect.

She herself would later explain to Father Joseph Marie Perrin, her friend and spiritual confidant: “I did not mind having no visible successes, but what did grieve me was the idea of being excluded from that transcendent kingdom to which only the truly great have access and wherein truth abides. I preferred to die rather than live without that truth.”

However, although only thirteen, Simone was then to receive the first transcendental revelation of her life, finding in the depths of her despair an abiding conviction that enabled her to overcome this crisis. Suddenly, she had the certainty that the heart’s spiritual desires will be granted to all those who strive, with all their effort, to attain them. Many years later, she recounted this breakthrough to Fr. Perrin: “After months of inward darkness, I suddenly had the everlasting conviction that any human being, even though practically devoid of natural faculties, can penetrate to the kingdom.

2. SP I, 20. (In this book, all of Weil’s original works in French will be cited according to the abbreviations provided by the Association pour l’Etude de la Pensée de Simone Weil, in Paris. A list of these with the complete citation can be found at the beginning of this book. [Translator’s Note: Following this is a list of those works by Weil which have English translations that have been used here. Additional sources used for this translation have been added to the supplemental bibliography.]
3. Ibid., 27.
4. Ibid., 54.
5. AD, 72, in Waiting for God, 23.
of truth reserved for genius, if only he longs for truth and perpetually concentrates all his attention upon its attainment.”

On the other hand, Simone stands out for certain gifts and abilities that, along with her intelligence, made her an uncommon—and exceptional—young girl. Solidarity, compassion for human suffering, and self-detachment, even self-neglect, were realities that she lived even as a small child. During World War I, at the age of five, she was a pen pal to a soldier, and even then, she completely gave up sugar, chocolate, and other sweets so that she could send them to the frontlines. She also worked tirelessly to save a little money and send gifts to the soldier: “she would collect scraps of wood in bundles that her parents would buy from her and set aside the money to fill the packages with more.” The soldier corresponded with his little pen pal, and they struck up a great friendship. Once while on military leave, and to Simone’s great joy, he stayed with the Weil family.

In 1916, the family took in a young cousin who had just lost her mother. When Simone first learned this news, she urged her brother Andrés: “We must do whatever she wants, because she is an orphan.” And as Madame Weil attests, “She mothers her little cousin and treats her with affection; she always defers to her, because she feels such sorrow for her!”

In 1929, at the age of seventy-nine, Simone’s grandmother died of cancer. Skeptical towards her granddaughter, she would nevertheless find herself surprised by Simone throughout her illness. Simone did whatever she could to distract her grandmother and cheer her up; at one point, she even fell ill herself. She would read from Victor Hugo’s *Les misérables* and talk with her at great length, and this seemed to offer relief and comfort to her grand-mère. Simone’s mother asserts that it was her daughter who helped the grandmother to accept the idea of death.

Young Simone’s future destiny was first foretold by a simple, elderly housekeeper working for the family who, one day, said, “Simone is a saint.” Previously a servant in the home of Father Langlois, the librarian at the Catholic University of Paris, the housekeeper had been recommended to Dr. Weil by the priest, with whom he had worked during the war. This remark about Simone, on the lips of an unassuming and poorly educated

9. Ibid.
Simone Weil's long journey through the world of misfortune, poverty, and unhappiness, which took on increasingly radical dimensions in her teenage and adult years, was strongly marked and influenced by her first encounters with human misery and suffering (the soldier, the orphaned cousin, the housekeeper). Her intellectual career, which began when she finished middle school and entered the lycée to study philosophy, was already sealed by a fervent compassion. This quality was to shape her entire life and lead her along the paths of politics, intellectual engagement, and mysticism.

An Intellect Wounded by Compassion

Opposite the brilliant intellectual career of her brother Andrés, who rose through the academic ranks with a surprising precocity, Simone’s development was slower. Very soon, however, she would show signs of an above-average intellectual ability. When she took her final middle school exam, the baccalauréat, at age fifteen, she was accepted by the Lycée Henri IV, one of the most prestigious high schools in Paris. She chose to study philosophy and, in the course of her intellectual formation, one person with undeniable influence and impact stands out: Alain, a beloved and admired teacher who later also became her friend.

Simone entered the Lycée Henri IV in October of 1925. Her ultimate goal was to study, like her brother, at the prestigious École Normale Supérieure on Rue d’Ulm in Paris. But above all, her greatest desire was to listen to Alain’s lectures. And so began her true philosophical journey. Pétrement, her classmate at the lycée and also a great admirer of the distinguished

11. Ibid., 38.
12. Alain (1868–1951), whose real name was Émile Chartier, had a considerable influence on an entire generation of young philosophers, both in his role as instructor at Lycée Henri IV and in his work as a journalist for newspapers and magazines. Born the son of a veterinarian in Montagne-au-Perche, Normandy, he entered the École Normale Supérieure as a student, became an agréé philosophy teacher, and would remain a teacher all of his life. During World War I, Alain enlisted as a soldier and became a gunner. His political choices were always on the side of the rebels. His life and his viewpoints, as well as his charisma as a teacher, explain why he had such great influence on the exceptional student that was Simone Weil.
teacher, stated that “Alain’s instruction usually erased all previous philosophical training in his students.”

Pétrement maintains that this juncture marked a break in Weil’s life and a new birth, that Simone’s philosophy originated in Alain’s classes. Alain’s philosophy was not characterized by system building, but rather by an intellectual method: it focused on the rational exercise of judgement, submitting the real to the order of reflection. His fundamental concepts are the following: the soul as the spiritual principle that controls the body and the passions; consciousness, understood as the act of knowing turned in on itself, in the full transparency of the cogito, of knowledge; morality, conceived as the set of principles and rules of conduct that lead to the recognition of human dignity; and the will, understood as choice that is thoughtful, tenacious, resolute—distinct from impulsivity—an effort of desire that is attempted only once.

Alain emphasized radical freedom of the will and the intimate relationship between will and intelligence: mental action is an indivisible whole, a moral locus in response to the experience of the physical body in the world.

As a student exceptionally gifted in intellect, and possessing a passionate heart as well as a compassionate outlook on reality, Simone did not go unnoticed in Alain’s classroom. From the beginning, he appreciated and valued her abilities, even in the face of those who scorned her eccentric appearance. Her sober style of dress, her ongoing concern for the problems of humanity, and her vehement rejection of any superfluous person or thing elicited comments like those of Madeleine Davy, one of her biographers: “I met Simone Weil at the Lycée Henri IV; she was unbearable.”

In the end, however, according to Pétrement, Simone was loved and respected by the majority of her classmates. The school’s academic activities did not keep her from becoming politically active. In fact, her interest in labor unions, political parties, and other revolutionary groups dates to her days at the lycée. Alongside the study of great philosophers, the ideals of

13. SP I, 63.
14. Ibid., 64. Pétrement adds: “Just as an artist starts with a work of art and not with the natural world itself, every philosopher starts with a previous philosophy, and this is true even among the greatest.”
15. Information from the website “Philonet, la philosophie à portée de tous,” http://mper.chez.tiscali.fr/.
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social justice, democratic freedom, and revolution also formed part of her daily life.

When she completed her studies at the lycée, she began preparing for entrance into the École Normale Supérieure. During this time, she went to the Sorbonne, where the admission exams were given. Preparatory courses for the exam were offered there, but Simone did not attend them often; she preferred to prepare herself by attending Alain’s classes and, being a voracious reader, by poring over books she selected. In her rare visits to the Sorbonne, she once came across Simone de Beauvoir. The French writer tells us of their encounter in this striking anecdote:

While preparing to enter the Normale—the training college in Paris for professoriates—she was taking the same examinations as myself at the Sorbonne. She intrigued me because of her great reputation for intelligence and her bizarre get-up; she would stroll round the courtyard of the Sorbonne attended by a group of Alain’s old pupils; she always carried in the one pocket of her dark grey overall a copy of *Libres Propos* and in the other a copy of *L’Humanité*. A great famine had broken out in China, and I was told that when she heard the news she had wept: these tears compelled my respect much more than her gifts as a philosopher. I envied her having a heart that could beat right across the world. I managed to get near her one day. I don’t know how the conversation got started; she declared in no uncertain tones that only one thing mattered in the world: the revolution which would feed all the starving people of the earth. I retorted, no less peremptorily, that the problem was not to make men happy, but to find the reason for their existence. She looked me up and down: “It’s easy to see you’ve never been hungry,” she snapped. Our relationship did not go any further. I realized that she had classified me as “high-minded little bourgeois,” and this annoyed me. . . . I believed that I had freed myself from the bonds of my class.

18. The French writer Simone de Beauvoir was Europe’s precursor of the feminist school of thought, and her writings on the emancipation of women are her most prominent contributions to that field. In the West, she is also well-known for her relationship with Jean-Paul Sartre.

19. A French philosophy journal to which Alain regularly contributed.

20. The French Communist newspaper founded by Jean Jaurès on April 18, 1904, with the objective of creating a socialist publication independent of other groups in the French workers’ movement.

Nevertheless, in the same book, Simone de Beauvoir returns to mention her namesake, with both admiration and loathing. She relates that, in 1926, Weil brilliantly passed the exams in the History of Philosophy and General Philosophy & Logic, in which she received the first-place score. This small episode is significant in Weil’s intellectual journey: the practice of a rigorous and demanding intellect informed by a passion for the world and for the individual that burned in a heart which felt profoundly affected by anything that could devalue or abuse human life. The first essay that Weil wrote for Alain—a commentary on The Six Swans by the Brothers Grimm—foreshadows her intellectual trajectory in a most symbolic and telling way. The story marks the beginning of the process of constructing the great themes of Weilian thought, which begins in late 1932. Her essay opens with a reference not to Grimm but to Plato: “Among the most beautiful of Plato’s thoughts are those he found through meditation upon myths.” This phrase, the very first line of her paper, is astonishing for anyone who is familiar with the subsequent development of her writings. It could very well have been the last line she ever wrote. Plato’s work accompanied her throughout her entire life, and she never ceased to delve ever deeper into his thought, defining him as the first of the mystics.

The myth (the symbol) gave the young philosopher pause for thought. Reflecting on myths and their hermeneutics allowed her to speak of what she saw “behind the door,” in other words, to construct a discourse about the mystical reality. This particular Brothers Grimm tale reappears in Simone’s life many times, marking her writings.

The core principle of the anthropology of her later years is already present here: that which is good is received only “from the outside,” “from the other.” And this existential posture enables the tendency to do good,

23. OC VI 1, 122: “Parmi les plus belles pensées de Platon sont celles qu’il a trouvées par la méditation des mythes.”
24. AD2, 46: “It was after this that I felt that Plato is a mystic . . . .”
25. “La Porte” is the title of a poem by Simone Weil published in PSO, 11–12: “Ouvrez-nous la porte . . . nous verrons les vergers . . . / Nous boirons leur eau froide . . . / La longue route brûle . . . / Nous errons sans savoir . . . / Nous voulons voir des fleurs. Ici la soif est sur nous./ Attendant . . . souffrant . . . devant la porte/ S’il faut nous rompons cette porte avec nos coups./ Nous pressons . . . poussons . . . / . . . Languir . . . attendre . . . vaine-ment/ . . . elle est close . . . / Nous fixons nos yeux . . . / Nous la voyons toujours; le poids du temps nous accable./ La porte en s’ouvrant laisse passer tant de silence./ Seul l’espace immense . . . le vide . . . la lumière . . . / . . . lave les yeux . . . aveugles sous la poussière.” See also Prologue in OC VI/3, 369–70.
which she will term “inactive action,” one of the most important categories of her thought.26 “Here, pure abstention is operating. . . . The absence of action, therefore, has virtue. That idea is the most profound of Eastern thought. Action is never difficult: we are always in action and we constantly disperse ourselves through random, scattered acts. . . . Strength and virtue only exist in the refusal to act.”27

Here, in seed form, is the complete philosophical theology developed in Simone’s Notebooks (Cahiers): the image of God’s renunciation (kenosis), decreasing so that the world could increase and then returning for his children and saving them. Thus, for Simone Weil, the interpretation of the Grimm swan myth is already soteriological:28 man, transformed here into an animal (a swan), separates himself from God, who “follows after, in search of him.” It is God who searches for man, not the inverse, and God who, in the end, saves him. Salvation is given through the Mediator, who in this case is the silent young sister, daughter of the king, a transparently Christic figure. The analogy that Weil draws between the Grimm tale and Eastern thought is the beginning, here prefigured, of her hermeneutics of culture.29

“The only power in this world is purity; all that is whole, unadulterated,” she will later say, “is a piece of truth.”30 In effect, on the scale of values, intention and attention can replace the effort of the will and of talent. The truth pursued by Simone Weil does not coincide with the truths of ideologies, religions and churches, but rather with a light that quenches the soul’s thirst and without which life is unbearable suffering. In her conception, the constitutive elements of this truth are beauty, purity, and integrity.

And the sign of this “conversion” of the soul can be found in her essay on the Grimm tale: the salvation of the six brothers, transformed by a spell into swans, is not derived from personal effort or the ability to perform


28. Cf. the commentary on the recognition of Electra and Orestes (Sophocles) and on the Chorus of Aeschylus’ *Agamemnon* in *Intuitions préchrétiennes* (*IPC*), 15–21, and in *SG*, 47–55.

29. It was in Marseille, in 1941, that Simone Weil was introduced to Sanskrit and Eastern thought by René Daumal.
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great acts, but rather from the love of a sister who patiently waits and sews the shirts for them. Making six shirts from aster flowers: here is our only means of gaining strength . . . in this world, strength is purity.31

Already here in Simone's essay are the constitutive elements of her later thought: silence, motionless waiting, effective virtue (later recognized and named as humility), purity, beauty, love. God who comes in search of man: all of the doctrine on humanity, the world, and God that develops in her later years (1940–43) already exists here in this text, so fundamental to understanding her thought and her philosophical-theological experience.

This philosophical paper, written at the end of her course, applies the perspective of her teacher, Alain, linking the will and intelligence with work. For Simone, work is the antithesis of the immediacy of thought, because it requires of us a commitment to actions that we do not want to perform to attain the goal that we do, in fact, desire. Such actions are not intrinsically related with the mind’s movement toward its goal. Pure freedom of thought and will must be activated in the concrete world indirectly and through mediation. Work, then, is the paradigm of all that she will later designate as a necessity, imposed on the mind. Even if the initial mental act is not interrupted on its path, it allows for neither an extended conceptualization of the world in time and space nor the possibility of speaking about objects or duration (which intervenes between desire and fulfillment). Work was the most important subject for Simone Weil during her young philosophical years. For her, peace was based on work, not religion.32

While at the École Normale, she felt the desire to be physically close to the working class in order to experience their living conditions. She wanted, according to her biographer, to undertake manual labor for herself.33 This desire reveals the inner movement that was beginning to stir her spirit, a stirring that she will later obey and that will culminate in her year of factory work. Social issues greatly occupied the mind of the young idealist Simone. In an attempt to reconcile political ideas with philosophy, many of her papers written for Alain at the École Normale addressed political philosophy or sociology.34

31 This is her thesis in the essay, “Le Conte des six cygnes dans Grimm,” in OC I, 58–59.
32. Article proposed for the Civil Service, for which Simone Weil wanted to work in Liechtenstein, cited in SP I, 126.
33. Ibid., 138.
34. Ibid., 142. In this area, as in many others, Simone Weil was a pioneer. Her attempt to reconcile, in terms of intellectual knowledge, the human and social sciences
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Regarding the notion of work, Simone made a special effort to connect seemingly disparate areas of knowledge, such as politics and mathematics, society and ancient philosophy. Simone Pétrement asserts that the theory she came to develop around these ideas was, perhaps, her first important accomplishment in the realm of intellectual thought. She wrote two important articles in 1929: “De la perception, ou l’aventure de Protée” and “Du temps.” Both were published in Libres Propos, the magazine in which Alain wrote.35

During the summer of 1929, Simone wanted to work in the fields with the farm hands. In these hot months, she went to the home of one of her aunts, in the Jura region of France, and worked ten hours a day harvesting potatoes. She also spoke with the locals and befriended the farming families.36

During the 1929–30 academic year, while her brother André went to Aligarh Muslim University in India, Simone finished her university studies. As the topic of her final term paper she chose “Science and Perception in Descartes.” Departing from pure and merely theoretical scientific rigor, she began the reflection by asking if science can contribute to equality and liberty among human being or if, on the contrary, it necessarily implies a new slavery. To answer that question, she goes to the source of modern science, which she believes to be found in Descartes.37

And in this paper, she addresses the question of God, declaring God the sole source of true power.38 Pétrement makes a significant comment on this assertion by Simone: “For her, at that time, God exists. But surprisingly, this God is defined by omnipotence rather than kindness, goodness, or perfection. Perhaps, at that time, she loved liberty or action more than goodness. Or maybe she did not know that she was honoring goodness will be at the forefront of thinking in the 1960s and thereafter, especially after 1968. Liberation theology, born in 1971 with Gustavo Gutiérrez’s book Por una teología de la liberación, inaugurated an entire movement that would have far-reaching and profound implications, not only in Latin America, but throughout the world, and it was prophesied by Simone Weil’s intellectual career. Cf. theses that began to appear comparing Simone Weil’s thought with that of liberation theologians. See Nava’s The Mystical and Prophetic Thought of Simone Weil and Gustavo Gutiérrez. Cf. also my article, “Affliction and the Option for the Poor” in The Relevance of the Radical, edited by Rozelle and Stone, 240–57.

35. SP I, 144.
36. Ibid., 149.
37. Cf. her biographer’s comment in SP I, 151. This paper by Simone Weil is published in the collection Sur la science.
38. S, 70.
above all else.” To that end, Jacques Cabaud remarks: “She was a heart that, so to speak, still did not know itself.”

It is striking that, for Simone, the existence of God emerges alongside that of the world. It is the idea of God that causes man to realize that he himself is not God, and this knowledge coincides with his learning about the world. And the belief in God, therefore, is postulated and expressed in one’s right thinking about the world... a world that is opposed to freedom. The world is an obstacle; it is oppression. The world that oppresses freedom can only be known through work. It is through labor that reason perceives the world.

Simone Weil ends her essay defending and praising work as the redeemer of humanity in the world. Work, for Weil, teaches us to use the world as an exterior obstacle in order to resist the world as an enemy within. Through her praise of work, she will respond to the questions she posed at the beginning of her essay about the usefulness of science for the liberation of humanity. In fact, in this manner, she places workers in the forefront as protagonists in this process of redemption and liberation. She says, “Workers know everything, but outside of working, they do not know that they possess all the wisdom there is.”

Also from this period in Weil’s life, there exist some manuscripts—probably preparatory drafts of her term paper—in which she reflects on God. In these documents, her conception of God is very similar to that of Descartes, to whose philosophy she fully adhered in those years. She asserts that this God is not the God of theologians, but rather “what there is of the infallible in myself.” And according to these scattered manuscript fragments, gathered by her family and friends, belief in God was, for Weil, the very same as just action. Belief is the effect of, not the condition for, courage and virtue.

As she was preparing for the final stages of her university exam, which in France authorized a person to teach, the desire to work in a factory work never left her. She also felt, in her mind and heart, the intuition of some of

39. SP I, 155.
43. SP I, 159.
44. Ibid., 162–63.
the tragedies of human history that would touch her closely. In 1931, the
year of the Colonial Exhibition in Paris, as she was reading a newspaper
article on the French occupation of Indochina and the Annamites’ living
conditions, Simone truly felt and understood, for the first time, the tragedy
of colonization. These were her words: “I saw, on the front page, for this
first time, the first few lines of Louis Roubaud’s touching report on the An-
amites’ lives, their misery, their slavery, and the insolence of the whites.
Sometimes, with my heart full from reading these articles, I would go to the
Colonial Exposition . . .”45

The inner process of this young intellectual began to intertwine, un-
equivocally, with the reality of oppression and injustice in the world . . .
the reality of violence of which thousands of human beings were victims.
The truth, for which she so passionately searched, began to show its shad-
owy face. And the compassion that dwelled in her heart from her youngest
years, she extended, boundless, to the whole of the universe.

The fragility of her health, together with the economic crisis in France,
prevented her from realizing her dream after completing her agrégation ex-
am.46 She wrote to Simone Pétrement: “I provisionally abandoned my great
project, due to the crisis.”47 Appointed as a teacher at the lycée in Le Puy, she
began a new stage of her life, in which teaching and political commitment
would take center stage.

Thought and Political Commitment

Before beginning her work at the school in Le Puy, Simone vacationed in
Neville, on the seashore. There, she approached the fishermen and their
families and insisted on working with them. Some rejected her, calling her
a communist. But others, above all the Le Carpentier family, accepted Sim-
one explaining that her ideology did not matter to them. At night, she went
out on the boats with the fishermen, but with such a frail physical composi-
tion, they did not know what task to assign her. In the end, Simone looked
after the nets and wound the fishing line. She faced storms like the rest of
the fishermen, unafraid of the sea.

45. EHP II, 135. Cf. also the 1936 Lettre aux Indochinois, EHP II, 121–22.
46. In the French education system, the agrégation exam requires students to submit
a written research dissertation and then defend it orally. The agrégation title authorizes
one to teach in secondary schools.
47. SP I, 179.
When the weather was bad and the fishermen could not go out, Simone would give them classes in different subjects. It is moving to read the story that one of these poor and simple men of the sea related to Anne Reynaud, who shares it in the foreword to the book *Leçons de philosophie*:

She wanted to live like us for several days, fishing and trawling for eels for hours (it’s hard work!), sharing our meals, and going back out again to the sea. She taught me arithmetic. Many of the summer visitors didn’t like her; they said she was a communist. But I don’t think so: our daughter arrived one day with her catechism and she told her, “I’m going to explain it to you out loud . . . .”

Anne Reynaud adds: “This simple seaman began our conversation by telling me, ‘She was unique . . .’ And he added quickly, with great spontaneity: ‘She was a saint!’”

Since she would be teaching in Le Puy, Simone Weil moved into an apartment there with the help of her mother. In terms of amenities, food, and facilities, her detachment and restraint were radical and striking. Madame Weil managed to find another teaching colleague to share the apartment with Simone, but she returned to Paris knowing that her daughter often would forget to buy groceries, would not turn on the heat in the winter, and would open her doors to anyone who needed a place to stay. Her philosophy class was composed of only eight students; later, it grew to fifteen. In addition, Simone taught Greek and art history to the younger children. Although she did not have a burdensome teaching schedule, she worked quite hard preparing for each class in detail. It was during this time that she began to experience severe headaches that made her work painful and difficult.

According to her biographer, Simone Pétrement, from the beginning, her students noticed in her teaching sound and strong ideas, well-constructed and exacting. They admired her deeply. At the same time, it seemed to them that she was vulnerable and ill-equipped for practical life,


49. Ibid. [Translator’s Note: Anne Reynaud wrote both an introduction and foreword to the original French, *Leçons de philosophie*. Her introduction is included in the English, *Lectures on Philosophy*, translated by Hugh Price; however, this translation does not include her foreword, which is where she relates this encounter with the fisherman. Therefore, the translation here is my own.]

50. *SP I*, 186.
and this evoked tenderness in them. They strived to protect and shelter her, even those in her Greek class who were the youngest of all her students.\textsuperscript{51}

But teaching was not Simone’s only occupation in the early 1930s. After having participated in the General Confederation of Labor (CGT) national conference in Paris, she immediately made contact with the trade union movement upon her arrival in Le Puy. After meeting Urbain and Albertine Thévenon, of Saint-Etienne, she began to take an active part in the Haute Loire and Loire region’s trade union movement. She joined the national teachers’ union and started to organize meetings for activists of all political orientations, fighting for trade union unity.\textsuperscript{52}

From that point on, her life took on a truly feverish pace. Besides classes at the lycée, not only for her philosophy pupils, but also for other younger students, she also gave free classes to the local miners, dedicated herself to trade union efforts, made trips to Saint-Etienne, collaborated with Alain’s newspaper \textit{L’Effort}, and wrote articles for the union newsletter. All of this activity took time, robbing her of meals and hours of sleep.\textsuperscript{53}

In December of 1931, Simone Weil accompanied a delegation of unemployed workers in Le Puy to the town hall, where they presented a list of demands. Simone’s support cost her opposition and attacks, even in the local press, from people who did not understand that an intellectual, a philosophy teacher, would support the unemployed in appealing for that which was not theirs to request. The director of education at Simone’s lycée asked for her transfer. She was supported, however, by her students, and there were articles in the local newspaper that were favorable to her intervention.\textsuperscript{54}

During this time, she had to face police citations, warnings from educational authorities, and threats of a job transfer, but Simone continued her efforts. Her collaborations and interests during this period turned increasingly to the left, including the far radical left. Her sympathies with anarchism were clear, and her criticism of capitalism, explicit. In spite of her youth, it is curious that she never lacked the ability to think clearly and critically with respect to the contradictions and limits of political ideologies. An article she wrote for \textit{L’Effort} demonstrates this. Published on July 2,
1932, it denounces the admiration Stalin had expressed for American efficiency, particularly with regard to industry and technology. Simone asserts that nowhere but in the United States has the subordination of the worker to working conditions arrived at such an alarming state. She concludes that Stalin has abandoned the Marxist standpoint and “allowed himself to be seduced by the capitalist system in its most perfect form.”

Simone felt the opposition against her from the school system and the Ministry of Education, an antagonism reinforced by the reports condemning her extracurricular activities and by the scarce number of her students who succeeded in passing the *baccalauréat* exam. In the end, she decided to request a transfer in order to expand her political efforts to more central and important places than the small city of Le Puy. But she first asked for one month’s leave, in the summer of 1932, to rest in Paris. That August, she departed for Germany.

Like many leftist European activists, Simone imagined that, in Germany, she would encounter the future revolution. She felt a particular affection for this country that can be traced back to her childhood, when she learned of the humiliating terms that the Treaty of Versailles imposed on this nation that was defeated in World War I. However, Simone had another objective: to analyze the political foundations of the Nazi Party, rapidly growing at that time, and understand by what aberration the German proletariat—one of the most educated and organized in Europe—could let itself be seduced by Hitler.

She visited factories and labor unions, chatted with leaders of various political persuasions, and spoke to hundreds of Germans on the streets and in cafés. At the request of her friend and Trotskyist Raymond Molinier, she struck up a friendship with Leon Sedov, the son of Leon Trotsky. Molinier was a member of the intellectual circle coordinated by Boris Souvarine, whom Simone would come to know very well. According to Simone Pétrement, Molinier had pleaded with Weil to contact Leon because he was in danger in Berlin and needed help. She traveled to France carrying information from the Trotskyists in his country.

Simone admired the intellectual vigor of the German people, most of all that of the working class. Her time in Germany opened up a new perspective in her thinking. The articles she wrote and her study of the
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German situation enabled her to see that the proletariat revolutions heralded by the Marxists for the future were impossible. She also observed that, due to the evolution of the industrialization process and the capitalist organization of labor, the proportion of unemployed workers was reaching a critical stage: the fear of unemployment was a restless ghost.

Simone also became aware of the irreparable corruption within the Russian Communist Party, something she already suspected. And she noted that the German communists had contributed to Hitler’s rise to power and to the defeat of the social democrats. Nazism was now gaining momentum in France as well. Returning there from Germany, she obtained a teaching position in Auxerre, a small city southeast of Paris. Through her contacts, she met Nazi deserters and offered assistance to all of them, converting her parents’ house in Paris into a veritable hotel.

Simone was not happy in Auxerre, and she longed for her labor union colleagues. Auxerre was a middle-class city and her students, the children of military officers. She lived in a small, unfurnished apartment and did not look after herself well. The relationships she had at school with her teacher colleagues and the principal were not the best. Her students, young middle-class girls, were intelligent and listened politely to their teacher, but Simone sensed that the lessons did not stimulate them.

It is surprising to see the role that religion plays in Simone’s writings; she speaks well of it, whatever specific religion it may be, because she finds it superior to superstition. She has words of admiration for the Catholic faith and also admires the ancient Greeks’ religion which, in her words, “has only myths and not dogmas.” She considers Christianity to be a synthesis of the Greek religious spirit and that of the Bible. In her interpretation, the Beatitude of the poor in spirit has nothing to do with material poverty itself or extreme asceticism, which the gospel seems to require. Rather, it approximates Hegel for whom “the control of the physical body constitutes the condition for freedom of the soul,” and she adds that pure ascetics are those who are most dependent on the body itself.
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