

# CONTENTS

## Part 33.—(Continued.)

### CHAPTER IV

#### SECTION II.—THE CREEDS.

	PAGE
How the Catholic idea of Baptism reconciles the doctrines of those who oppose it—Transition to the <i>Creeds</i> . . . . .	1
The Apostles' Creed, its form, its relation to Baptism, its relation to the Scriptural idea of Christ's Kingdom . . . . .	3
The Nicene Creed, wherein it resembles the Apostles', wherein they differ . . . . .	5
Quaker objection to "the outward character of the Creed" . . . . .	7
Modern Protestant Objection—"The Creeds interfere with the Bible" . . . . .	8
Rationalistic objections—"The Creed embodies an idea, the historical element in it is imaginary" . . . . .	10
The Romish System—"The notions and opinions of the Doctors of the Romish Church are necessary to elucidate Scripture, and to supply that which is deficient in it" . . . . .	14
The Catholic Creeds are a defence of the Scriptures and of the poor man against the attempts of Doctors, to confuse the one, and rob the other . . . . .	15

#### SECTION III.—FORMS OF WORSHIP.

Varieties of worship in different countries . . . . .	19
The existence of common Liturgies belonging to different nations, a strange fact . . . . .	20
Explicable only upon the hypothesis, that there is a spiritual and universal kingdom . . . . .	20
Quaker objection to Liturgies—"Prayer is given by the Spirit, prepared forms make it the utterance of the will and reason of man" . . . . .	21
Objection of the pure Protestant—"Forms of Prayer cannot be adapted to changes of circumstances and individual feeling" . . . . .	25

	PAGE
Objection of the modern Philosopher—"The idea of Worship is inconsistent with the immutability and perfection of God" . . . . .	31
The Romish System—Romish Prayers not distinguished from Catholic Prayers by a chronological line . . . . .	38
True distinction—The one treats the Church as if she were admitted into the presence of God, the other as if she were not . . . . .	39

## SECTION IV.—THE EUCHARIST.

Evidence of permanency and universality in this sign . . . . .	43
Scripture explanation of it . . . . .	45
Inference— <i>This Sacrament is an actual and not an imaginary bond between God and man, and fulfils the idea of the Jewish Sacrifices</i> . . . . .	47
Quaker Objection—"The Sacrifice required of Christians is real and personal—the Eucharist Sacrifice is formal and fantastic" . . . . .	49
Division of Protestant Objections . . . . .	54
General Objection—"If any sacrificial character be imputed to the Eucharist, the Sacrifice of Christ on the Cross was not complete" . . . . .	55
Zuinglian Doctrine—"This Sacrament is merely the memorial of a past Transaction" . . . . .	58
The Calvinistical Doctrine—"There is a real presence in the Sacrament to those who believe" . . . . .	64
Lutheran Doctrine—"The divine body and blood are consubstantiated with the elements" . . . . .	65
Rationalistic Objection—"The Christian mysteries are only a continuation of the old heathen mysteries" . . . . .	67
The Romish System—The Romish doctrine respecting the eucharistic sacrifice and respecting transubstantiation, is in more direct opposition to the Catholic idea of this Sacrament, than it is to the doctrine of the pure Protestants . . . . .	76
Participation of the Spiritual body practically denied by the Romanist . . . . .	79
Priesthood degraded by the Romanist . . . . .	80

## SECTION V.—THE MINISTRY.

Character commonly imputed to a Sacerdotal caste—Spirit of Domination—Disposition to enslave—Narrowness . . . . .	82
Idea of the Christian Ministry—All who belong to this caste, <i>servants</i> —Its principal office, <i>absolving or setting free</i> —Its highest office, a witness to the <i>universality</i> of the Church . . . . .	83

# Contents

ix

	PAGE
Connexion of this sign with the general idea of a Spiritual Kingdom . . . . .	87
How expounded in the New Testament . . . . .	88
Inference.—“ <i>A Ministry grounded upon an Episcopal order, and possessing an absolving power, is a permanent institution of the Christian Church</i> ” . . . . .	91
Quaker Objections—“A true minister is made such by an inward call”—“The idea of succession belonged to the Old Dispensation”—“A minister is fitted for his work by the teaching of the Spirit” . . . . .	92
Presbyterian Objections—“Christ is the only Bishop of His Church”—“The Bishop is not in Scripture distinguished from the Presbyter”—“The Episcopal order has introduced corruption and secularity into the Church” . . . . .	109
Objections to the absolving power in Ministers—“The minister sets the conscience free by preaching; when he tries to absolve in any other sense, he usurps the power of his Master” . . . . .	116
Objection of the followers of Mr. Irving—“There should be an order of Apostles superior to that of Bishops” . . . . .	120
Philosophical Objections—“Men of letters and of science are the true priests” . . . . .	126
The Romish System—The Romish System maintains a vicarial, the Catholic Church a representative priesthood—These two ideas are in direct contradiction to each other . . . . .	130
How the Romanist has destroyed the idea of absolution by his vicarial doctrine . . . . .	132
How he has set at nought the Episcopacy . . . . .	13
How he has destroyed the connexion between the Jewish and Christian economy . . . . .	136

## SECTION VI.—THE SCRIPTURES.

The Scriptures interpret the other signs of the Spiritual Kingdom, but are also themselves signs of it . . . . .	137
The Canon explained by looking at the Scriptures in this light . . . . .	138
Different methods of interpreting them reconciled . . . . .	139
The question, Where are the interpreters of the book to be found? considered . . . . .	140
The question, How criticism can be applied to the Scriptures? considered . . . . .	141

	PAGE
The question of inspiration, and of the relation of Scriptures to other books, considered . . . . .	148
The question of miracles considered . . . . .	156
The question of the Gospel narratives considered . . . . .	160
The Romish System—The Romish doctrine respecting the concealment of the Scriptures from the Laity—The inspiration and miracles of particular men, and the lives of particular saints, considered in reference to this subject . . . . .	164

## CHAPTER V

ON THE RELATION OF THE CHURCH AND NATIONAL BODIES  
INTRODUCTORY

Different views as to the permanence of the Jewish institutions . . . . .	171
The Ten Commandments . . . . .	172
Illustration of these commandments from Pagan history . . . . .	174
The institutions to which these commandments refer, exist in Modern Europe . . . . .	176
They may be <i>national</i> institutions and yet <i>divine</i> . . . . .	177
Punishments, Oaths, War, belong to the religion of the Jewish State . . . . .	178
And of every heathen State . . . . .	179
They cannot belong to our Dispensation if they are prohibited in the New Testament—They may belong to it, though they are not enjoined in the New Testament . . . . .	180
The relation between Spiritual and National life exhibited in the Old Testament . . . . .	182
Exhibited in Pagan history—Lost under the Roman empire . . . . .	183
The Christian Kingdom felt to be incompatible with this empire . . . . .	184
Attempted union with it . . . . .	184
Overthrow of the Roman empire, and gradual rise of national societies under the influence of the Church . . . . .	185

## SECTION I.—OBJECTIONS OF THE QUAKERS.

“The Sermon on the Mount annuls the laws of the old dispensation” . . . . .	190
“A national provision for ministers is unchristian” . . . . .	220

# Contents

xi

	PAGE
SECTION II.—THE PURE THEOCRATIST.	
“The Nation is to use its sword for religious purposes”—The Covenanter—The Millennarian—The Non-juror . . . .	223
SECTION III.—THE SEPARATISTS.	
“The union of the Church with the State is the union of an anti- secular with a secular body” . . . . .	227
SECTION IV.—THE PATRICIAN.	
“The golden age of the Church was that which existed before the rise of national life in Europe” . . . . .	231
[SECTION V.—THE MODERN STATESMAN.	
“If the Church and the nations be united according to the idea set forth in this chapter, the education of each nation must belong to the Church—Such a pretence was always dangerous, is now intolerable” . . . . .	250
SECTION VI.—THE MODERN INTERPRETERS OF PROPHECY.	
“The constitution of Society cannot be right and divine now, for Christ at His second coming will make all things new”— “The Jewish nation is <i>the</i> divine nation”—“The Latin Church is apostate, and will be cut off” . . . . .	264

## Part 333

### *THE ENGLISH CHURCH AND THE SYSTEMS WHICH DIVIDE IT*

#### CHAPTER I

##### INTRODUCTORY

HOW FAR THIS SUBJECT IS CONNECTED WITH THOSE PREVIOUSLY  
DISCUSSED

What the inquiries of the English Churchman ought to be . . . . 277

SECTION I.—DO THE SIGNS OF A UNIVERSAL AND SPIRITUAL  
CONSTITUTION EXIST IN ENGLAND?

	PAGE
All the Catholic institutions exist here . . . . .	278
The meaning attached to these deduced from the Liturgy—The meaning of the Liturgy from the complaints of those who object to it . . . . .	279
The relative claims of the two sets of persons in England who call themselves Catholics, to represent the Church . . . .	286
The Articles—The first division of them sets aside the Calvinistic system—The second division the Romish—The Calvinistical principle asserted in the one as the Catholic is in the other . .	288

SECTION II.—DOES THE UNIVERSAL CHURCH IN ENGLAND EXIST  
APART FROM ITS CIVIL INSTITUTIONS IN UNION WITH THEM?

The answer to this question unanimous . . . . .	291
The nature of the union determined from history . . . . .	292
How affected by the Reformation . . . . .	293
How affected by subsequent events . . . . .	294

SECTION III.—WHAT IS THE FORM OF CHARACTER WHICH BELONGS  
ESPECIALLY TO ENGLISHMEN? TO WHAT DEPRAVATION IS IT  
LIABLE?

The ordinary answer that Englishmen are essentially <i>political</i> , the true one . . . . .	299
We cannot throw off this character . . . . .	299
That part of our literature is the noblest which exhibits it most strikingly . . . . .	300
It is not unfavourable to the highest virtue and devotion . . . .	300
It belongs equally to all parties . . . . .	302
How it was depraved in the period between the English and the French Revolution . . . . .	303
Form which it assumed in the reign of George III. . . . .	304
Attempt to discover a substitute for it . . . . .	305

## CHAPTER II

## THE ENGLISH SYSTEMS

SECTION I.—THE LIBERAL SYSTEM—THE EVANGELICAL SYSTEM—  
THE HIGH CHURCH OR CATHOLIC SYSTEM.

The Liberal protest against English orthodoxy—"It is opposed to all improvement and comprehension" . . . . .	305
---	-----

# Contents

xiii

	PAGE
The Evangelical protest against it—"It substitutes conduct for faith" . . . . .	306
The Catholic protest against it—"It confounds the Church with the civil power" . . . . .	306

## SECTION II.—REFLECTIONS ON THESE SYSTEMS AND ON OUR POSITION GENERALLY.

Importance not of one, but of all these protests . . . . .	307
Value and truth of the Liberal principle; it is contradicted by the Liberal system . . . . .	308
Value and truth of the Evangelical principle; it is contradicted by the Evangelical system . . . . .	309
Value and truth of the Catholic principle; it is contradicted by the Catholic system . . . . .	310
Mutual charges of these parties, how far true . . . . .	313
How they may be made useful to our old English feeling—How they actually threaten to destroy it . . . . .	314
How an English Churchman may serve his country without belonging to a party . . . . .	319
Conclusion . . . . .	329
Note on the Athanasian Creed . . . . .	333